

**REMARKS**

The Examiner asserts that the Response filed on June 5, 2003 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action, and that if one of the invention of groups II or I is elected, a further election of a species, drawn to a specific neuronal and memory functional disorder is necessary. The Examiner requires selection of "a single disorder from either claim 2 or pages 14-23 of the Specification."

In response, Applicants elect "congenital disorders and disorders arising from teratogen exposure," as specified in claim 2. The term "congenital disorders and teratogens" is described on pages 7-8 in paragraph [0026] of the Specification.

Applicants expressly reserve their right under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to file a divisional application directed to the non-elected subject matter during the pendency of this application, or an application claiming priority from this application.

Applicants request examination of the elected subject matter on the merits.

Dated: September 19, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

By Shantanu Basu

Shantanu Basu

Registration No.: 43,318

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

755 Page Mill Road

Palo Alto, California 94304

(650) 813-5600

Attorneys for Applicant